UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,227	11/01/2005	Karla S. Colle	2003UR028	4245
Gerald D Malpa	7590 05/28/200 ass Jr	EXAMINER		
ExxonMobil Ûpstream Research Company Corp Urc Sw 348 PO Box 2189 Houston, TX 77252-2189			KUGEL, TIMOTHY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/555,227	COLLE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Timothy 6: reager	17.50
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (l	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected ciaims.
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		i be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Timothy J. Kugel/	
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1796

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that US Patent 5,600,044 (Colle '044) teaches that acrylamides absent an NH2 group are effective hydrate inhibitors and that compositions comprising those having an NH2 group, such as PAM, perform worse than the uninhibited composition, pointing to the results of Table 1 of Colle '044.

It is first noted that no standing rejection relies upon Colle '044 and therefore its teachings of preferred polymers is only material in the results shown between various acrylamides.

Second, it is the examiner's position that applicant has misinterpreted the results of Table 1. Under the Mini-Loop Sub-Cooling portion of the table, it is the examiner's position that no test was done with PAM-the NH2 containing polymer that applicant asserts is that taught by US Patent 4,027,607 (Schiller), which is relied upon in the standing rejections-since both the "Conc. Wt%" and "Mini-Loop Sub-Cooling Temp. (F)" contain a dash, which the examiner has taken to mean no test was performed.

Further, it is noted that the PAM scored a 10 on the Ball Stop Time test, which is better than the 6 that resulted from the control group. The examiner interprets this as showing that the PAM does have at least some inhibitive properties and therefore would meet the instant claims.